



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/511,941	05/05/2005	Goran Sundholm	U 015417-6	8701
140	7590	06/20/2006	EXAMINER	
LADAS & PARRY 26 WEST 61ST STREET NEW YORK, NY 10023				KAMEN, NOAH P
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3747	

DATE MAILED: 06/20/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

SJP

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/511,941	SUNDHOLM, GORAN
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Noah Kamen	3747

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 6/5/06.
- 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-5 and 7-18 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) 6 is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 - a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.
- 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
- 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 17, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Mesurier (1665885).

Figure 1 shows a water spraying system including a nozzle 11, a speed responsive delivery mechanism at 14, and a **temperature control mechanism at 24**. The nozzle is deemed able to produce a mist.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3, 7-10, 12 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Conner (5148776) in view of Mesurier (1665885).

Conner shows a nozzle/valve element 13 that delivers water based on load (figure 7) as determined by a controller 10.

In regard to claim 2, a plurality of nozzles may be used (col.6, lines 3+).

Claim 3 is so broad as to read on any system.

Art Unit: 3747

In claim 7, the frame reads on the intake manifold.

In regard to claims 12 and 13, there is a pump 17 with a regulator 18.

However, no water heating means are disclosed.

Mesurier shows a water spraying system including a nozzle 11, a speed responsive delivery mechanism at 14, and a **temperature control mechanism at 24** for improving combustion; therefore to include a temperature control device in Conner would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art.

Claims 1-3 and 8-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over O'Neill et al (4960080) in view of Mesurier.

Figure 1 in O'Neill et al shows two nozzles 60, 62 for spraying water into an engine wherein the system is controlled by engine load (col.2, lines 25+).

In regard to claims 8 and 9, see regulator 32.

In regard to claims 10-12, there is an electric pump 10 providing constant flow communicating with a relief valve 18 (col.4, lines 15+).

In regard to claims 13 and 16, alcohol in the water mixture reads on cleaning and a second pressure medium (col.3, lines 67+).

In regard to claim 14, see col. 4, line 36.

In regard to claim 15, see col.3, lines 11+.

However, no water heating means are disclosed.

Mesurier shows a water spraying system including a nozzle 11, a speed responsive delivery mechanism at 14, and a **temperature control mechanism at 24** for improving combustion; therefore to include a temperature control device in O'Neill et al would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art.

Claims 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Conner in view of Mesurier as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Iizuka et al (4165610). Conner shows an engine in which all the cylinders are operated. Iizuka et al disclose variable displacement engine to improve fuel economy. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the engine of Conner to include variable displacement operation; the result of which will control the number of nozzles and their point of injection.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 6 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Art Unit: 3747

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Noah Kamen whose telephone number is 571 272 4845. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 6:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen Cronin can be reached on 571 272 4536. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.


Noah Kamen
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3747

nk